

**REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

Correspondence Address. A revocation of power of attorney and change of correspondence address for this case were filed on November 12, 2003. A copy is attached. The Applicants therefore respectfully request that the correspondence address be changed to customer number 26710.

Specification. The title has been objected to as being insufficiently descriptive. In response, the title has been amended to read: "Method and Apparatus for Hanging a Panel of an Electrical Cabinet". The specification has also been amended to include the headings provided in 37 C.F.R. 1.77(b). Various other objections to the specification have also been addressed via the amendments above. In view of these amendments, the Applicants respectfully request that the objections to the specification be withdrawn.

The specification has also been objected to as citing an incorrect filing date for parent application number 09/869,618. Therefore, the date has been changed to March 25, 2002.

Drawings. In the drawings, Fig. 11 has been objected to because the number "50" should be "51". A replacement sheet for Fig. 11 is attached. Figs. 1 and 5 have been objected to as requiring brackets. In response, Figs. 1 and 5 has been amended. In view of these proposed amendments, the Applicants respectfully request that the objections to the drawings be withdrawn.

The drawings have further been objected to for failing to comply with 37 C.F.R. 1.84(p)(5) for including reference numeral 39 not mentioned in the description.

Reference numeral 39 is discussed at page 5, line 25. No amendments are therefore believed necessary, and the Applicants respectfully request that the objections related to reference numeral 39 be withdrawn.

Objections to the Claims. Claim 5 has been objected to as requiring the phrase “the steps of”. In response claim 5 has been amended. Claims 6 - 8 have been objected to as failing to limit the method steps. In response, claims 6 – 8 have been amended to clarify that the steps are method steps. Various other amendments have been made to clarify the language of the claim. Claim 5 has been amended to remove an “or” and associated limitations, and new claim 9 added to include the deleted limitations. Claims 6 – 8 have also been objected to based on various issues related to antecedent basis. The claims have also been amended to rectify these issues. In view of the amendments to claims 6 – 8, the Applicants respectfully request that the objection to claims 6 – 8 be withdrawn.

#### Conclusion


In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, claims 5 – 8 and new claim 9 are believed to be in condition for allowance, and the Applicants respectfully request that a notice of allowance for claims 5 – 8 and new claim 9 be issued.

Appl. No. 10/657,349  
Amdt. Dated June 2, 2005  
Reply to Office Action of May 25, 2005

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any fees under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17 that may be due on this application to Deposit Account 17-0055. The Commissioner is also authorized to treat this amendment and any future reply in this matter requiring a petition for an extension of time as incorporating a petition for extension of time for the appropriate length of time as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 136(a)(3).

Respectfully submitted,

John David Schnabel

By:   
Terri S. Flynn  
Quarles & Brady LLP  
Reg. No. 41,756  
Attorney for Applicant  
411 East Wisconsin Avenue  
Milwaukee, WI 53202-4497  
414/277-5229